

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/825,166	04/16/2004	Warren J. Grosjean	8278	
7:	590 12/05/2005		EXAM	INER
WARREN J. GROSJEAN			LEE, JONG SUK	
32 JUNIPER RD. WAYNE, NJ 07470-6156			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3673	

DATE MAILED: 12/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/825,166	GROSJEAN, WARREN J.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jong-Suk (James) Lee	3673				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 So	entember 2005	•				
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-11</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) 10 and 11 is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-6</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-9</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	•					
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/825,166 Page 2

Art Unit: 3673

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed September 19, 2005 has been entered.

Specification

2. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: "a plurality of transverse, **flexible** hollow plastic tubes" in claim 10, lines 6-7.

With respect to applicant's argument that the tube has been flexible in order to be fit to the contours of the ditch, it is not persuasive because the tube can be short segments which are connected together with a joint and be fitted to the contours of the ditch without being flexible. The word, "flexible" is not mentioned in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC ≥ 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 3, 4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by

Art Unit: 3673

Ehrlich et al (US 6,428,240).

Ehrlich discloses a sectional interlocking sand bags comprising a strip (10) of polyethylene plastic of width and having a specific gravity of one or less, a plurality of transverse hold down means (12, 16) being filled with sand (col.2, lines 10-12), either integral with or fixed to the strip of plastic, running the entire width of the strip of plastic and spaced therebetween, the polymeric/plastic strip having an inherent toughness for withstanding the abrasive action of water and sharp rocks (see Figs. 1-5; col.2, lines 6-57).

With respect to the dimension of the strips and the spacing for the hold down members, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to change the size of the strips in order to fit to the desired installation site conditions.

With respect to the hot melted glue for the sand, an artisan within the ordinary skill in the art would have added conventional hot glue to the sand for bonding the sand within the bags.

5. Claims 1 and 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heine et al (US 3,696,623).

Heine et al discloses a woven mat comprising a strip (1, 3) of polyethylene plastic of width and having a specific gravity of one or less, a plurality of transverse hold down means (2) being filled with thermoplastic material (2b), either integral with or fixed to the strip of plastic, running the entire width of the strip of plastic and spaced therebetween, the polymeric/plastic strip having an inherent toughness for withstanding the abrasive action of water and sharp rocks, the plurality of hold down means being tubes (2a) filled with any of variety of heavier than water materials such as thermoplastics (see Figs. 1-4; col.3, lines 3-63; col.4, lines 1-18).

Art Unit: 3673

With respect to the dimension of the strips and the spacing for the hold down members, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to change the size of the strips in order to fit to the desired installation site conditions.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to amended claim 1 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 7-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. Claims 10-11 would be allowable over the prior art of record.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Art Unit: 3673

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jong-Suk (James) Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-7044. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 am to 3:00 pm, Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather C. Shackelford can be reached on (571) 272-7049. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

J. Lee /jjl November 29, 2005

Jong-Suk (James) Lee Primary Examiner Art Unit 3673